1879-80.
(K.R.)

File No. 43 of 79
Serial No. 1-5.

Sub: Claim to the Patele office of Janthagobla in the Hosadurga Sub-Taluk.



G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1879-80

192 .

(Land Rev)

File No. 43-79

Serial Nos. 1—5

Later references.

L R. 66-89

L-R 125-97

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—5

Part B for May 1880

SUBJECT.

Cases to the Taluka Office of Jamkhandi

in the Hosadurga Sub-Taluka

Judged

WD 2228 GPP—20,003—13-6-90

L.R. 43 of 79.

1-70

Memo

The English abstracts and the orders filed with the Proceedings fully explain the circumstances of the case.

2:- with reference to the three points on which the Board was asked to furnish in=formation - viz:

1st As to the nature of the Petitioners claims to the Btaile office -

The Petitioner is the descendant of former Btails and nephew of Siddiah who in 1850. contended for the recovery of half share in the office (the other half having been held by him) from one Nanjah. whose son the ~~Petr~~ dismissed Btail Sunna. -Siddiah is - Petitioners con=tention is founded on his hereditary claims to the office the decision of the late Sheristadar of the late Chitaldroog Dist. to the effect that his family was entitled to half of the right.

rights. and his performance
of the duties till 1874:-

2nd The Circumstances
under which his claims were
passed over in 1874 when Mr
Bleie Btail was appointed

3. Increasns are not on
record. There were two
Btails at the time jointly
performing the duties, Btt
and Sunnasiriah — The
latter was selected for the
office and Btt who was
excluded from his share was
informed that he was at
liberty to appeal if he was
not satisfied with the Dy
Comrs order — In 1877. when
the Scheme of Remuneration
remuneration was introduced,
Petitioners claims were not
considered — Inam Enquiry
-ment No 109 dated 27th Augt
1877. the Dy Comr states that
Sunnasiddiah was selected
for the office as he was
the Huekdar and a competent
man. and Petitioners hereditary
if any existed was not lost
thereby.

And 3rd The grounds upon which
Petitioner was appointed to
act by the Dy Comr

4 The reasons were the
forfeiture of the right to

3

of the office by the family of
Sunnasiddeswarah who was
convicted of embezzlement;
the recognition of the
half rights of the petitioners
uncle in 1850 by the former
Supdt of the Division; Btts perfor-
-mance of the Btaile duties till
1874, and his non connection
with the family of the dis-
-missed Btail:-

5. The order appointing Btt
was reversed by the Comrs in
appeal made by him before
Marlieb, who is stated to be
a divided Kusiman of the
Convicted Btail, and who
was considered the better
entitled to the office than Btt.
Vide English orders dated 13th
May 1879 and 4th Augt 1879:-
In the last order the Comr
states that the decree of 1850
relied on by Btt is one of
old date and never seems
to have been carried into effect
But the Proceedings show and
the Dy Comr admits that
till 1874, Btt was jointly per-
forming

forming the Patels' villages with the other Patels' Summadiosials.

I leave see the petition of Special appeal for reasons adduced by it in support of his contention.

H.S.

3. The decree held by the petitioner is as old as 1850. and could never have been in force.....

involves the question of Moreover the fact that the office from 1853 to 1874 is to shew that the decree has not been in operation. The petitioner further holds several Kabuls and orders addressed to him as the Patel of the village.

The decree referred to would have been affected by Law of Limitation, if it had related to any money or other transaction, whereas the present decree a right to the village office. petitioner having held the this office in itself a sufficient proof

4. When the Police Scheme was introduced into the Taluk. Sanna Siddish was appointed to the post of Patel

Sanna Siddi Gowda being a rich cunning man got himself regis = tered as a Police Patel. When the petitioner heard of this, put forth his objections before the Dy Comm. who was pleased to pacify the petitioner that his rights were in no way wounded by the appointment of Siddi Gowda and that if the petitioner wished he could prefer an appeal to the proper Court. The Petitioner did not adopt the above course immediately partly because the said Sanna Siddi Gowda had Consented to allowed the petitioner to perform the Revenue portion of the Patelship, and partly because his domestic troubles prevented him from doing so. Some time

permanent scheme of remuneration was subsequently introduced into the Taluk. He preferred his complaint to the higher authorities with reference to the non payment of the remuneration and about his claims to the office generally. Before his application was disposed of, the petitioners opponent was charged with the embezzlement of the public money & imprisoned. This circumstance led the Dy Comm. to nominate the petitioner to the vacant office.

In a similar case which came up before the Chief Comm. from a Ryot in the Sunnaikan = holly a Taluk Col: Meade passed orders appointing one sole Patel in preference to the share-holders. Under these foregoing circumstances the petitioner begs that the Dy Comm's order cancelled and that of the Dy Comm's appointing the petitioner to the post upheld.

one Rupee Stamp
affd.

Translation of the Rajas Comm's order
D74-8.79. dt 1876.

The Petitioner is informed that the decree he holds is a very old one and does not

In 1874 when the Police organization was effected the claims of the several parties were considered & disposed of - one Samia Siddiah being selected for the office. This individual having been removed subsequently for misconduct, a divided member of his family is entitled to get the office - For these reasons, the petitioners application for a reconsideration of the orders already passed is rejected & the enclosures returned.

Sd/ W. Hill
Dy Comm.

No. 1848.

Name of Petitioner. Linghiah Patel of Unta polalore

Village.

Taluk. Hosdurg

District. Chittledroog

Date of Arzi. 8. 9. 79.

Date of Receipt. 9. 9. 79.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Twenty seven

Abstract.

Regs to appeal agnst the order of the Commr. the Rajas Dw appointing one Narooje to the office of the Patel of the above village in preference to the petitioners claim - the grounds of appeal are as follow:-

A perusal of the marginally noted papers will shew that the office in question has been held hereditarily by the Petitioners family.

The reasons of the

Regd the Deputy Commr for report & all proceedings & Abstract of Petitioners claim & Patel Dwn to grounds upon which the was appointed & to the Deputy Commr, and to explain under what circumstances passed over when the Polwathan was offered in 1874

1. Decree of the Hosdurg Taluk Court D. 30. 7. 1850.
2. Copy of the order issued to the Amildar by the Supte of the Chittledroog Dr. 27. 9. 50.
3. Petition addressed to the Chief Court by the father of the petitioner D. 26-12-51 (with endorsement thereon)
4. Hookum issued by the Amildar under orders of the Dy Commr

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ತಂದೆಯಾದ ದಿವಂಗತ ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪಯ್ಯನವರು ಈ ಉಂತಪೊಳಲೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪಟೇಲಿಕೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಮರಣಹೊಂದಿದ ನಂತರ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಆ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದಾಗ ಅವನ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪನಾದ ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪಟೇಲ ನರೂಜೆಯು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ ಪಟೇಲಿಕೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಲು ಅಡ್ಡಿಪಡಿಸಿದನು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ. ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 4 ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1879 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಂದು ನಾನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದೆನು.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 8-11-79

Off^g Commissioner.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವ ಪಟೇಲಿಕೆಯ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಲು ಅಡ್ಡಿಪಡಿಸಿದ ಆಪಾದಿತನಿಗೆ ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಜಾರಿಮಾಡಿ ಆಪಾದಿತನ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಹಕ್ಕಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಸಹಿತ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ

Explanations are given below in juxtaposition.

Opinion of the Dy Commr

1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 7 of the Chief Commrs Circular of 1872 Maroolah, a divided Cousin of the dismissed Patel will be appointed to the office.....

Right to the office in equal shares with the discharged Patel Sanna Siddiah. by his appropriating the claim to the office. the Dy Commr was right in confirming the office in question to the petitioner who is unconnected with the Ex Patel's family.

2. Lingiah should establish his claim to the office in a Revenue Court if they are stronger than those possessed by the family of Sanna Siddiah .....

without interruption necessity to the petitioner a fresh.

The Petrs Explanation

The Commrs opinion as transcribed in the margin would have been quite correct, had the dismissed Patel Sanna Siddiah been the sole and the only claimant to the office. As will be seen from the copies of documents produced by the petitioner (Nos 1. 2. 3. & 4) this brother and the latter individual having forfeited his share in the office. the Commr was right in confirming the petitioner who is unconnected.

As already stated. the right of the petitioner to the office in question has been recognized in the documents referred to in para 1 and the office has moreover been in the petitioner's enjoyment. Hence there is hardly any

GOVERNMENT COURT FEE MYSORE INDIA 23-5-79 EIGHT ANNAS

169

In the Court of the Commissioner

Nagar Division.

Before Major W Hill officiating

Commissioner.

Revenue Miscellaneous Appeal No 38 of 1878-79

Shimoga dated 13th May 1879.

Appellant

Maroolaiya of Gantigolalu in the Hosdroog Taluk.

This is an appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog District to the address of the Amildar of the Hosdroog Taluk No 987 dated 8th December 1878. appointing one Ringaya a Potail of the above named village in succession to one Sanna Siddiah dismissed.

Order

The Appellant Maralaiya as a divided cousin should have

been appointed to succeed the
dismissed Potail in accordance with
the concluding part of Section 7 of
the Chief Commissioner's Circular of
the 22nd October 1872. Had Ningaiya
any separate claim to the office in
preference to Sanna Siddaiya's branch
of the family he should have
established it by a revenue suit.
His appointment is therefore cancelled
and Marlaiya is appointed Potail
instead.

Signed / W Hill
Acting Commissioner

Shimoga
13th May 1879

"True copy"

usly
ag Revenue Sheristadar

K. Ramchundra
Offg Commissioner
Nagar Division

Copy applied for by Ningaiya — 21st June 1879.
Ready for delivery — 23rd Do
Copy & sent for delivery 24th Do

Karagar
Acting Revenue Sheristadar
Nagar Division

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT
MYSORE
OF INDIA
EIGHT ANNAS

J. F. Porter



To

Major General M. Cubbon

Commissioner for the Government

of the Territories of the Rajah

of Mysore

Honored Sir,

I most respectfully beg leave to submit that, one Nunja Gowda having unjustly and unlawfully usurped half of my hereditary rights in the Gowdatka, lodged a complaint in the Talook Cutcherry of Kasa Doorg, in Chittledoorg Division, and upon due investigation of the documents in my possession, as well as the mahazurs of the Villagers, it has been decided that the said Nunja Gowda has no right to enjoy half of the privileges of my "Gowdatka". The Defendant not being satisfied with this decision, he appealed against it to the Superintendent of Chittledoorg Division, who has reversed the original decree without giving due weight to the merits of the case; Consequent on which, I came here with a view of obtaining justice, and presented to your honor an [illegible] Petition, on which your honor has been pleased to endorse that, I should abide by the decision of the Superintendent. Thinking that this endorsement is not the effect of due consideration of the full merits of my case, I respectfully beg to submit a brief outline of the case and request your

justice.—

My late father assigned over half of the rights of the Gowdaka lands Sidda Lingiah, on the condition of his performing the ceremonies and usages due to the duties of our particular caste.— In default of which, the grant becomes null and void. The present plaimant (who is alleged to be a relation of the said Sidda Lingiah not having acted according to the specific conditions, upon which half of the Gowdaka is given to the late Sidda Lingiah, his title and claim to it becomes cancelled.— Moreover the Gowdaka is our heridatory privilege, and any assignment we may make of its rights and privileges, whether in full, or in portion, only continuable upto our pleasure and will, or until the preservation of the agreement contracted between the two parties. any violation of the terms and stipulations contracted, renders such contract invalid, and the person who makes his claim on the strength of that contract, is of course deprived of all benifits coming under the tenor of such contract.— For instance, I have a field, which I make over to one B— on the condition that he should enjoy its produce in consideration of his continuing to perform certain work, and that whenever he should cease the continuation of such work, the field in question reverts to me.— In the same manner my late father gave half of the Gowdaka to Sidda Lingiah on

condition, is sufficient ground to withhold from him the grant, which is merely restricted to his natural life, and not to his heirs and administrators. Suppose I give a House to one A— to remain in it and on A should he die some time after his sons or heirs cannot claim the house as their bonafide property unless I give it to them— In the present case the half Gowdaka given by my ancestors to the said cannot legally come to his heirs on the mere hypothesis that the said Sidda Lingiah enjoyed it in his life time.

The mahazur of the Villagers, sircar accounts & the documents in my possession, fully establish that the present claim has no right to half of the Gowdaka of my family.— The Superintendent of Chittledroog Division, reversing the original decree in my favor, has assumed that the enjoyment of half Gowdaka in question having continued during the life time of the said Sidda Lingiah, his heirs also should enjoy the same. This assumption is erroneous as will appear from the reasons adduced above.— Consequently I humbly prave your honor will be graciously pleased either to refer the investigation of my case to any of the legal Courts, or be pleased to look into it personally, in which case, permit me to state that, the decision of the Superintendent

unjust, and that the decision of the Talook authorities is just. Should your honor deny my humble request, I will not only be ruined, but will also entail ruin upon my generation the dispute now involving being hereditary one.—

Craving your pardon for this intrusion, and hoping your honor will give due consideration to the merits of my peculiar case.—

I remain
Honored Sir
Ypr most obedient and
very humble Servant

Bangalore December 2 1850

Signed Ramiah
Patel of Punchohalli Village
attached to the Talook of Hosa Doorg

307

ଓଡ଼ିଆ ଭାଷାର ଉତ୍ପତ୍ତି ଓ ବିକାଶ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧରେ ଯେଉଁ ଆଲୋଚନା ଆରମ୍ଭ ହୋଇଛି ତାହା ଏବେ ବି ଚାଲିଛି । ଏ ସମ୍ବନ୍ଧରେ ଯେଉଁ ଅଭିମତ ଦିଆଯା

ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಕರು ಈ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೀತಿಯ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳ ದರಪಟ್ಟಿ

ಒಂದು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅಡಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೨೫-೦೦ ಮೂರು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅಡಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೬೦-೦೦ ಆರು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅಡಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೧೧೦-೦೦ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಅಡಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೨೦೦-೦೦ ಅರ್ಧ ಪುಟ ಒಂದು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ರೂ. ೧೨೦-೦೦ ಒಂದು ಪುಟ ಒಂದು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ರೂ. ೨೨೦-೦೦ ಮುಖಪುಟದ ಒಳಪುಟ ಒಂದು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ರೂ. ೩೫೦-೦೦ ಮುಖಪುಟದ ಹಿಂಭಾಗ ಒಂದು ಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ರೂ. ೪೫೦-೦೦ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂಗಡವಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಚೆಕ್ ಅಥವಾ ಡ್ರಾಫ್ಟ್ ಅನ್ನು "ಸಂಪಾದಕರು, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಸಂಜೆ ವಾರ್ತೆ" ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಮೂರು ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಪುರಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಪುರಾವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಒಂದು ದಿನದೊಳಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ತಿಳಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಪುರಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಮುಂಗಡವಾಗಿ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕೊನೆಯ ಕ್ಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಶುಲ್ಕ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಮಾಜಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾನಿಕರವಾಗಿರಬಾರದು. ಅಶ್ಲೀಲ, ಮೂಢನಂಬಿಕೆ ಪ್ರಚೋದಕ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವೇ ಅಂತಿಮ. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧಿ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುದಾರರೇ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರು. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯು ಯಾವುದೇ ಔಷಧ ಅಥವಾ ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆಯನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಬಣ್ಣ ಮುದ್ರಣಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಶುಲ್ಕ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಬಣ್ಣ ಮುದ್ರಣದ ಪುರಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಗಾತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸೆಂಟಿಮೀಟರ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಳತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದ್ದರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಅಳತೆಗೆ ಶುಲ್ಕ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಯುನಿಕೋಡ್ ಫಾಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಉತ್ತಮ. ಚಿತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ೩೦೦ ಡಿಪಿಐ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಮೂರು ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಪುರಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಪುರಾವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಒಂದು ದಿನದೊಳಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ತಿಳಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಪುರಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಮುಂಗಡವಾಗಿ ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಕೊನೆಯ ಕ್ಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಶುಲ್ಕ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಮಾಜಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾನಿಕರವಾಗಿರಬಾರದು. ಅಶ್ಲೀಲ, ಮೂಢನಂಬಿಕೆ ಪ್ರಚೋದಕ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸಂಪಾದಕರ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವೇ ಅಂತಿಮ. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧಿ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಹೀರಾತುದಾರರೇ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರು. ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯು ಯಾವುದೇ ಔಷಧ ಅಥವಾ ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆಯನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಬಣ್ಣ ಮುದ್ರಣಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಶುಲ್ಕ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಬಣ್ಣ ಮುದ್ರಣದ ಪುರಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಗಾತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸೆಂಟಿಮೀಟರ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಳತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವಿದ್ದರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಅಳತೆಗೆ ಶುಲ್ಕ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಜಾಹೀರಾತಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಯುನಿಕೋಡ್ ಫಾಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಉತ್ತಮ. ಚಿತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ೩೦೦ ಡಿಪಿಐ ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು.

ਸਵਾਲ 1. ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ :

ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਔਰਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਥਿਤੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੁਧਾਰ ਦੀ ਲੋੜ

ਆ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਦਿਆ ਦੀ ਮਹੱਤਤਾ

ਇ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਹ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਕ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਖ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਗ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਘ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਙ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਚ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਛ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਜ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਝ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਞ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਟ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਠ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਡ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਢ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਣ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਤ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਥ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਦ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਧ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਨ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਫ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਬ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਭ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਮ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਯ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਰ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਵ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਹ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਕ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਖ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਗ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਜ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਫ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸਵਾਲ 2. ਹੇਠਾਂ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਇੱਕ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਭਗ 250 ਸ਼ਬਦਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਲੇਖ ਲਿਖੋ :

ੳ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਅ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ੲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਹ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਕ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਖ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਗ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਘ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਙ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਚ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਛ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਜ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਝ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਞ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਟ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਠ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਡ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਢ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਣ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਤ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਥ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਦ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਧ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਨ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਪ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਫ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਬ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਭ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਮ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਯ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਰ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਲ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਵ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਸ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਹ) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਕ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਖ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਗ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਜ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

ਫ਼) ਸਾਡੇ ਸਮਾਜ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਆਹ ਦੀਆਂ ਰਸਮਾਂ

[illegible] ଅଟନ୍ତି ଏବଂ ଏହି ଅଧିକାରୀମାନେ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ ଅଧୀନରେ ରହି ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶାନ୍ତି ଓ ଶୃଙ୍ଖଳା ରକ୍ଷା କରିବା ସହିତ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଅନ୍ୟାନ୍ୟ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ମଧ୍ୟ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶାନ୍ତି ଓ ଶୃଙ୍ଖଳା ରକ୍ଷା ପାଇଁ ଦାୟୀ ଥିବାରୁ ଏହି ଅଧିକାରୀମାନଙ୍କ ଉପରେ ତାଙ୍କର ନିୟନ୍ତ୍ରଣ ରହିଥାଏ । ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଏହି ଅଧିକାରୀମାନଙ୍କୁ ଆବଶ୍ୟକ ଅନୁଯାୟୀ ଯେଉଁ ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ଯେଉଁ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ଦେଇଥାନ୍ତି ସେମାନେ ସେହି ଅଞ୍ଚଳରେ ସେହି ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ ଅଧୀନରେ ଥିବା ଅଧିକାରୀମାନଙ୍କ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ, ଉପଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ, ତହସିଲଦାର, ନାୟବ ତହସିଲଦାର, ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ତହସିଲଦାର, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ସାମରିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅଗ୍ନିଶମ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଆବକାରୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଖଣି ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅର୍ଥ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅଭିଲେଖାଗାର ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶ୍ରମ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଯୋଗାଣ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିବହନ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଜନସମ୍ପର୍କ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଆଇନ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିଳ୍ପ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶ୍ରମ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଯୋଗାଣ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିବହନ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଜନସମ୍ପର୍କ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଆଇନ ଅଧିକାରୀ, ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିଳ୍ପ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଆଦି ଅନ୍ୟତମ ।

ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ ଅଧୀନରେ ଥିବା ଅଧିକାରୀମାନଙ୍କ ମଧ୍ୟରେ ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳଙ୍କ ଅନୁପସ୍ଥିତିରେ ତାଙ୍କ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଉପଜିଲ୍ଲାପାଳ ଜଣେ ଉପଖଣ୍ଡ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଭାବେ ଉପଖଣ୍ଡର ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ତହସିଲଦାର ଜଣେ ତହସିଲ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଭାବେ ତହସିଲର ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ନାୟବ ତହସିଲଦାର ଜଣେ ତହସିଲ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଭାବେ ତହସିଲର ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଅତିରିକ୍ତ ତହସିଲଦାର ଜଣେ ତହସିଲ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଭାବେ ତହସିଲର ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ସାମରିକ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ସାମରିକ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅଗ୍ନିଶମ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଅଗ୍ନିଶମ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିକ୍ଷା ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିକ୍ଷା ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଆବକାରୀ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଆବକାରୀ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଖଣି ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଖଣି ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅର୍ଥ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଅର୍ଥ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଅଭିଲେଖାଗାର ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଅଭିଲେଖାଗାର ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶ୍ରମ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶ୍ରମ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଯୋଗାଣ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଯୋଗାଣ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ପରିବହନ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ପରିବହନ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଜନସମ୍ପର୍କ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଜନସମ୍ପର୍କ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଆଇନ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଆଇନ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି । ଜିଲ୍ଲା ଶିଳ୍ପ ଅଧିକାରୀ ଜିଲ୍ଲାର ଶିଳ୍ପ ଦାୟିତ୍ୱ ତୁଲାଇଥାନ୍ତି

ज्ञानेश्वरी

ज्ञानेश्वरी

ಅಂಗಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ ಕಲ್ಲಿನ ಕಂಬಗಳಿಗೆ

No. 3233.

Name of Petitioner. Linjiah, Patel of

Village. Santapalabo

Taluk. Bowdung

District. Chitteldrug

Date of Arzi. 8. 1. 80

Date of Receipt. 8. 1. 80.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

L.R. 43-79-2.

ABSTRACT.

Repeats the contents of his former petition to 1848 and prays for early orders on his case.

One Rupee stamp affd

Vide order on petition No. 1848.

5634
P. 645

(3)

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

L.R. 43-79-3

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1848, dated 8th September received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Linganna, Patel of Jumlapoor Hosdurg Taluk

is forwarded, together with its Two enclosures to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bellary Dt for the submission of the Proceedings accompanied by a report as to the nature of the Petitioner's claims to the Patel Office, the grounds upon which he was appointed to act by the Dy Comm^r & the circumstances under which his claims were passed over when the Police Patel was appointed in 1874.

BANGALORE,
24th January 1879.

"By Order,"

Rev Secretary.

Chitaldroog District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
21st April 1880.

No. 34 En 2 files of cum papers.

To,

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
Revenue Department

Sir,

LR.43-79-4

In returning the petition of Lingiah late Potel of Jambigolla in the Hosedurga Talook with its enclosures received with your Official Memo No. 5634/645 of the 24 January last, I have the honor in submitting the Proceedings of the Case, to state that it appears from the records that the ancestor of this Lingiah and of one Sun Siddiah contested for the Potaily, and obtained a decree in the year 1850, from the late Superintendent of the Chitaldroog Division to the effect that both parties should enjoy the Potaily lands as they have been enjoying it for a long term of 60 years.

2. The duties were thus being conducted by both the parties in turns, until the Police Patels were appointed in 1874, when Lingiah was thrown out, and Sun Siddiah appointed. No reasons are assigned in the Police Potaily Register by Captain Mabby for ousting Lingiah.

Settlement of Permanent Remuneration to Village Officers

3. During the Potigey also, Sun Siddaih was retained, and in the month of September 1878, he was convicted and sentenced for misappropriating Government money.

4 Here Lingiah and one Guarabiah (the present Patel) put in their Claims through the Amildar for the Office, and Captain Cumming selected the former individual for the following reasons.

1 That Sun Siddaih having been sentenced for embezzling Government money he and his relatives have lost their luck as favorites of the Chief Commissioner.

year 1850, that Lingiahs ancestors were also legal huckdars to the Potaily.

III. That Lingiah was performing the duties up to the period when the Police Potels were nominated.

IV That he has an endorsement from Major Armstrong to the effect that though Sunna Siddiah alone was appointed during the Potaiay, his (Lingiahs) right to the Office has not been affected by it, and

V That Lingiah has not any relationship with the convicted Sun Siddiah or his family.

5 Against this order Maraliah the divided Cousin of Sun Siddiah appealed to the Commissioner who reversed the order of the Deputy Commissioner and appointed Maraliah, as will be seen from the order of the Commissioner filed with the Proceedings.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt

[signature]
Dy Commissioner

From The Dy Commissr Chittaldroog Dte

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Revenue General Department.

Dated 21st
Despatched 22d April
Received 26

Enclosures No. 2 files.

General No. 84 } 187 —7.
No.

Submits report on the petition of one Lingiah of Kantipalaya

24. 4. 80 VS

P/S. and abstract Note
none of the Enclosures
Jaleen Esqr.

To Reckoning with abstract
VS

(General Serial No. 31.) (5)

1880.

From

To The Dy: Commr Chittaldroog Dte

Dated 26th } May 1880.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1099
P No. 106

L.R. 13-79-5

Read :- Petition Reg. No. 1848 of ~~the 8th~~ Sept. 1878 from the Lingiah of Jantha golalu in the Hosdrug Taluk, appealing against an order of the Comm^r. of the Nagar Div^n. dispossessing him of the right to the Patalship of the Jantha golalu village -

Letter No. 34 of the 21st. April 1880 from the Dy Comm^r., Chitaldroog District, reporting on the above petition, and forwarding the proceedings connected with the case.

No. 1099 / P. 106 dy 26/5/80 Order thereon.

The ancestors of the Petitioner Lingiah and those of Sanna Siddia appear to have been recognized as Joint Huckdars to the Potail Office by a Decree in 1850, and both families appear to have held the Office jointly till 1874, when, in the appointment of Police Pahals, one being considered sufficient, Sanna Siddiah was ~~alone~~ appointed;

DG

24/5

6370

Claim for restitution of the Jaulkyte Office to Linga Patel

Off. for appmt

Canc. Vor proc.

1099
R 106

The Petitioner Linigiah being informed that his claims to the Office will not thereby be forfeited — Sannaleddiah having been convicted of Embezzlement of Govt money in Septr 1878, the Dy Comr appointed the Petitioner Linigiah to the vacant office, which appointment was cancelled by the Commr on appeal in favor of Maraliah, a divided cousin of the dismissed Patel —

2. The dismissal of Sanna Siddiah afforded a proper opportunity for the recognition of the claims of the Petitioner Linigiah which were simply passed over on the ground that of but one Patel ~~being~~ was required — The Chief Comr, under the circumstances, considers that the claims of a divided cousin of the dismissed Patel were not preferable to those of Petitioner Linigiah, and he directs ~~this~~ Linigiah's restoration to the Office in accordance with the appointment made by the Dy Comr.

To. The Dy Comr Chitaldroog &c
with Proceedings